

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/623,592 | 07/22/2003 | Cheng-Hsien Chou | BHT-3226-39 | 5852 |
| 7590 11/14/2008 TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC | | | EXAMINER | |
| SUITE 1404 5205 LEESBURG PIKE FALLS CHURCH. VA 22041 | | | CHANG, RICK KILTAE | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 3726 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/14/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/623 592 CHOU ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Rick K. Chang 3726 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 October 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 14-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/623,592 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The disclosure, as originally filed, failed to provide support for "performing a circuit formation on the two copper clad layers forming part of the core" (claim 14, lines 6-7), "laminating a dielectric layer to form a laminated dielectric layer" (claim 14, line 9), "forming another two circuit layers on the copper clad layers to form the another two circuit layers on outer sides of the two dielectric layers (claim 14, lines 13-15), and "the 8-layer PCB has only 8 layers" (claim 14, last line). Fig. 2(K) shows 17 layers and the claims indicate more than 8 layers.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3726

"performing a circuit formation on the two copper clad layers forming part of the core" (claim 14, lines 6-7), "laminating a dielectric layer to form a laminated dielectric layer" (claim 14, line 9), "forming another two circuit layers on the copper clad layers to form the another two circuit layers on outer sides of the two dielectric layers (claim 14, lines 13-15), and "the 8-layer PCB has only 8 layers" (claim 14, last line) render the claim vague and indefinite. Is the circuit formation performed to the "two copper clad layers" or on the "two copper clad layers" to form two circuit layers? Where does "a dielectric layer" laminated to? There are nine (9) layers, not four (4) layers in claim 14, lines 11-12. It is unclear as to how the applicants are counting the layers.

Claims are ambiguous and competitors would be unable to discern the bounds of the invention

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 14-15 and 17, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson et al (US 4,882,454) in view of Kiyota et al (US 5,263,248), and further in view of Haba et al (US 6,675,469), Cutting et al (US 5,638,597) and Shin et al (US 6,405,431).

Art Unit: 3726

Re claims 14, 17: Peterson discloses preparing a core (102) including a thin compound plate having an inner layer made of a material and two copper layers (105) provided on two outer sides of the inner layer;

performing a circuit (301,302) formation on the two copper layers forming part of the core by way of etching to form two circuit layers on the two outer sides of the inner layer (col. 3, lines 20-21), respectively;

laminating a laminated dielectric layer (101) to form a laminated dielectric layer from the prepreg material and a copper layer are sequentially formed on each of the two circuit layer by way of lamination (105), so that a four-layer PCB is formed (see Fig. 1);

forming another two circuit layers on the copper layers to form another two circuit layers on outer sides of the two dielectric layers (105 are circuits);

forming two resin layers (101; epoxy is a resin material) being by way of applying a dielectric material (101) on outer sides of the two circuit layers (105);

forming necessary conductive holes on the resin layers (where 314s are located) by performing laser drilling and mechanical drilling (col. 3, lines 37-38 and lines 46-50), respectively, to form a PCB (Fig. 5);

plating the PCB with copper to form a copper-plating layer on all outer surfaces of the PCB (col. 3, lines 49-54);

performing the circuit formation by way of etching the copper-plating layer to form two additional circuit layers (col. 3, lines 49-54); and

forming another two laminating dielectric layers (101), and another two copper clad layers being sequentially formed on outer sides of the two additional circuit layers (105) by way

Art Unit: 3726

of lamination to form the 8-layer PCB (the claim does not limit the prior art form having more than 8-layers), wherein the 8-layer PCB has only 8 layers (based on Fig. 5, first layer – top three (3) layers; second layer – fourth and fifth layers subsequent to the first layer; third layer – sixth and seventh layers subsequent to the second layer; fourth layer – eighth and ninth layers subsequent to the third layer; fifth layer – tenth, eleventh and twelevth layers following the sixth layer; sixth layer—thirteenth and fourteenth layers following the seventh layer; seventh layer – fifteenth and sixteenth layers subsequent to the sixth layer; and eighth layer – last three layers following the seventh layer).

Peterson fails to disclose a prepreg material and two copper clad layers; forming a resin build-up process through liquid epoxy coating or dry film type epoxy laminating on the two circuit layers.

Haba forming a resin build-up process through epoxy laminating on the two circuit layers (20).

Kiyota discloses a prepreg material is a resin-impregnated fiberglass fabric (col. 3, line 53).

Shin discloses two copper clad layers (10).

Cutting discloses dry film type epoxy (col. 3, line 56).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Peterson by a prepreg material and two copper clad layers; forming a resin build-up process through liquid epoxy coating or dry film type epoxy laminating on the two circuit layers to the Peterson's PCB, as taught by Haba, Kiyota, Shin and Cutting, for the purpose

Art Unit: 3726

of utilizing proven materials that are well known in the PCB manufacturing industry to save manufacturing cost and research and development time.

Re claim 15: Peterson discloses etching the conductive layers to form circuits (col. 3, lines 44-54), except for copper clad layers.

Shin discloses two copper clad layers (10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Peterson by copper clad layers to the Peterson's PCB, as taught by Shin, for the purpose of utilizing proven materials that are well known in the PCB manufacturing industry to save manufacturing cost and research and development time.

7. Claim 16, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson et al (US 4,882,454)/Kiyota et al (US 5,263,248)/Haba et al (US 6,675,469)/Cutting et al (US 5,638,597)/Shin et al (US 6,405,431) as applied to claims 14-15 above, and further in view of Fujii et al (US 6,591,491).

Peterson/Kiyota/Haba/Cutting/Shin fail to disclose plating gold to form two other circuit layers.

Fujii discloses plating gold to form two other circuit layers (32).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Peterson/Kiyota/Haba/Cutting/Shin by plating gold to form two other circuit layers to the Peterson/Kiyota/Haba/Cutting/Shin's PCB, as taught by Fujii, for the purpose of utilizing proven materials that are well known in the PCB manufacturing industry to save manufacturing cost and research and development time as well as providing the one of the best electrically conductive material known to mankind.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 10/1/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The limitation "the 8-layer PCB has only 8 layers" is shown in Fig. 5, as follows: first layer – top three (3) layers; second layer – fourth and fifth layers subsequent to the first layer; third layer – sixth and seventh layers subsequent to the second layer; fourth layer – eighth and ninth layers subsequent to the third layer; fifth layer – tenth, eleventh and twelevth layers following the sixth layer; sixth layer – thirteenth and fourteenth layers following the seventh layer; seventh layer – fifteenth and sixteenth layers subsequent to the sixth layer; and eighth layer – last three layers following the seventh layer.

Interviews After Final

9. Applicant note that an interview after a final rejection must be submitted briefly in writing the intended purpose and content of the interview (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Upon review of the agenda, the Examiner may grant the interview if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. <u>Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations will be denied</u>. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Conclusion

10. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional).

Art Unit: 3726

Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3726

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rick K. Chang/ Primary Examiner, A.U. 3726

RC

November 15, 2008